

# Cu(II) Oxide Amorphous Nanoclusters Grafted $\text{Ti}^{3+}$ Self-Doped $\text{TiO}_2$ : An Efficient Visible Light Photocatalyst

Min Liu,<sup>‡</sup> Xiaoqing Qiu,<sup>‡</sup> Masahiro Miyauchi,<sup>\*,†</sup> and Kazuhito Hashimoto<sup>\*,‡,§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Metallurgy and Ceramics Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan


<sup>‡</sup>Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8904, Japan

<sup>§</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

## Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:**  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  self-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  was prepared by a facile one-step thermal oxidation of  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{TiO}_2$  mixture in air. Electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra confirmed the presence of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  in this sample.  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  states caused a visible light absorption, which is originated from the isolated band in its forbidden gap. Although the photocatalytic activity of this  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  self-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  was negligible even under the UV light, its UV and visible light activities were drastically increased by the grafting of Cu(II) oxide amorphous clusters with a size of around 2 nm as a co-catalyst. Consequently, the photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous 2-propanol (IPA) into  $\text{CO}_2$  with a maximum generation rate of  $0.20 \mu\text{mol/h}$  and a quantum efficiency of 10.8% is achieved, under the visible light irradiation. Its efficient visible light activity is highly stable in air and can be repeatedly used for a long term.

**KEYWORDS:**  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$ , Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters, IFCT, visible light sensitive, photocatalysis



## INTRODUCTION

Titanium dioxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) has attracted much attention during the past years, owing to its wide applications in photocatalysis.<sup>1,2</sup> However,  $\text{TiO}_2$  can only be activated under UV light irradiation because of its large band gap, 3.2 eV for anatase and 3.0 eV for rutile.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, a great deal of effort has been made to modify the band structure of  $\text{TiO}_2$  to make it sensitive to visible light. The introduction of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  states has been reported as an effective way to extend the light absorption of  $\text{TiO}_2$ -based materials into visible light.<sup>3</sup> However, a  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  defect has been widely considered as a recombination center for the photogenerated electrons and the lowering the photocatalytic activity,<sup>4</sup> owing to the fact that its energy level is located in the intraband,<sup>5</sup> as well as the low electron mobility in these sites.<sup>6</sup>

Our group designed a visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst by grafting Cu(II) or Fe(III) amorphous clusters onto  $\text{TiO}_2$  surfaces.<sup>7</sup> X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) analysis revealed that Cu(II) oxide or Fe(III) hydroxide amorphous nanoclusters formed on the  $\text{TiO}_2$  surface.<sup>7</sup> Visible light irradiation initiates interfacial charge transfer (IFCT) from the valence band (VB) of  $\text{TiO}_2$  to the surface nanoclusters. In the case of Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters modified  $\text{TiO}_2$  (Cu(II)- $\text{TiO}_2$ ), electrons in the valence band of  $\text{TiO}_2$  can be directly excited to Cu(II) nanoclusters, which can serve as an efficient oxygen reduction through the multi-electron process.<sup>8</sup> In this system, the potential of the valence band (VB) can be kept at deep level with strong oxidation power, and these holes can decompose organic compounds. In other

words, this system can take advantage of both the efficient oxygen reduction via Cu(II) nanoclusters and the high oxidation power of the holes in the VB of  $\text{TiO}_2$  induced by IFCT under visible light irradiation.<sup>7a-c</sup> These results suggest that some of photocatalytically inactive materials, such as low conduction band materials,<sup>7a</sup> only UV light active materials,<sup>7b</sup> or even some inactive materials, can become good candidates for efficient visible light active photocatalysts by this new concept.

Herein, we demonstrate that the  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  self-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  ( $\text{TiO}_2@ \text{Ti}^{3+}$ ) becomes an efficient visible light active photocatalyst by the grafting of Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters. This simple and economical method can be extended to apply other defects, such as oxygen vacancies, interstitial Ti, and interstitial O, to develop of a highly active photocatalyst under visible light irradiation.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Synthesis of  $\text{TiO}_2@ \text{Ti}^{3+}$  Sample.** Different from reduction methods such as the annealing of  $\text{TiO}_2$  in vacuum or hydrogen,<sup>5d,9</sup> we developed a facile method for synthesis of stable  $\text{TiO}_2@ \text{Ti}^{3+}$  by oxidizing  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  mixtures in air. Briefly, 1 g powder of  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  (grain size of around  $1 \mu\text{m}$ , specific surface area of  $5.4 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ , Alfa Aesar, 99.8%) and 1 g of commercial  $\text{TiO}_2$  powder (rutile form, grain size of 15 nm, specific surface area of  $90 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ , MT-150A, TAYCA Corp.) was mixed and ground into a fine powder using an agate mortar. Then, the mixed powder was heated at  $900^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 h to

Received: October 6, 2011

Published: November 8, 2011

form  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ . After calcination, the specific surface area of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  is  $3.8 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , which is equal to that of annealed pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ .<sup>7e</sup> As a control sample, pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  was obtained by the same annealing ( $900^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 h) onto the commercial  $\text{TiO}_2$  powder (MT-150A, TAYCA Corp.).

**Grafting of Cu(II) Oxide Amorphous Nanoclusters onto  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ .** The grafting of Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters onto  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  was performed by the same impregnation method reported previously in ref 7. Briefly, 1 g of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  powder was first dispersed in 10 g of distilled water.  $\text{CuCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Aldrich), acting as the source of Cu(II)- $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ , was weighed to give a weight fraction of Cu relative to  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  powder of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ . The weighed  $\text{CuCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was then added to the aqueous  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  suspension, heated at  $90^\circ\text{C}$ , and stirred for 1 h in a vial reactor. The suspension was then filtered twice with a membrane filter ( $0.025 \mu\text{m}$ , Millipore) and washed with sufficient amounts of distilled water. The resulting residues were dried at  $110^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h and subsequently ground into a fine powder using an agate mortar. The same process was applied for pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  to obtain Cu(II)- $\text{TiO}_2$ .

**Sample Characterization.** The crystal structures of the prepared powders were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Rigaku D/MAX25000,  $\lambda=1.54178 \text{ \AA}$ ). The electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker ESP350E spectrometer. UV-visible absorption spectra were obtained by the diffuse reflection method using a spectrometer (UV-2550, Shimadzu). The morphologies of the samples were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), using a Hitachi S-4800 apparatus and transition electron microscopy (TEM) on a Hitachi HF-2000 instrument under an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The specific surface areas of the samples were determined from the nitrogen absorption data at liquid nitrogen temperature, using the Barrett–Emmett–Teller (BET) technique. The samples were degassed at  $200^\circ\text{C}$  and a pressure below 100 mTorr for a minimum of 2 h prior to analysis using a Micromeritics VacPrep 061 instrument. The surface analysis was studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Perkin-Elmer

model S600). The binding energy data are calibrated with the C 1s signal at  $284.5 \text{ eV}$ .

**Evaluation of Photocatalytic Activities.** The photocatalytic activities of photocatalysts were evaluated by the decomposition of gaseous 2-propanol (IPA) under visible light illumination ( $400\text{--}530 \text{ nm}$ ,  $1 \text{ mW}/\text{cm}^2$ ) from a Xe lamp (LA-251Xe, Hayashi Tokei) equipped with glass filters (L-42, B-47, C-40C, Asahi Techno-Glass). For the analysis, 300 mg of the photocatalyst was uniformly spread over a  $5.5\text{-cm}^2$  irradiation area in a 500-mL quartz vessel. Before injecting  $6 \mu\text{mol}$  (ca. 300 ppm) of gaseous IPA, the organic compounds (originating from the air) absorbed on the surface of catalysts were first photo-oxidized into  $\text{CO}_2$  and the gas in the quartz vessel was then replaced with pure synthetic air ( $\text{N}_2/\text{O}_2=80\%/20\%$  with the purity of 99.9%). After injecting IPA, the reaction vessel was kept in the dark for 12 h and was then subjected to visible light irradiation to initiate the photocatalytic reactions. The concentrations of acetone and  $\text{CO}_2$  produced were monitored using a gas chromatograph (model GC-8A, Shimadzu Co., Ltd.).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis and Structural Characterization.** The obtained  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  sample shows a grayish yellow color, while pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  exhibits an ordinary white color, as shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information. The powder XRD analysis, as shown in Figure 1, shows that the  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  sample is a pure rutile phase  $\text{TiO}_2$  with high crystallinity. No  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  peaks were detected, which means  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$  was oxidized to  $\text{TiO}_2$ .

**ESR and XPS Analysis.** To test for the existence of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$ , low temperature ESR spectra were recorded, as shown in Figure 2a. The obtained  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  showed a clear ESR signal, while no signal was seen for the pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ . The observed g-values, 1.965, 1.938, and 1.916, are assigned to be paramagnetic  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  centers.<sup>4a,b</sup> The ESR data indicate that there is no  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  present on the surface of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ . It was demonstrated that surface  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  would adsorb atmospheric oxygen to form  $\text{O}_2^-$ , and it shows an ESR signal at  $g \approx 2.02$ .<sup>10</sup> XPS analysis (Figure 2b) also shows no  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  signals on the surface of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ . In contrast, pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  did not show any ESR signal for  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  states. Based on these results, it can be concluded that the origin of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  mainly comes from the oxidized  $\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_3$ .

**UV-Vis Spectra.** Figure 3a shows the UV-visible absorption spectra for bare and Cu(II) nanoclusters modified  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  and pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ , respectively. The  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  exhibited broad visible light absorption, whereas pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  did not. According to Kubelka–Munk functions (Figure 3b), the introduction of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  nearly did not narrow the band gap. The  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  would be formed as isolated states between the




Figure 1. XRD patterns of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  sample and pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ .






Figure 2. (a) ESR spectra and (b) Ti 2p core-level spectra of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  sample and pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ .



**Figure 3.** (a) UV–visible absorption spectra of bare and Cu(II) nanoclusters grafted  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  and pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ , respectively. The dash curves correspond to those of the bare samples. (b) Plots of the square root of the Kubelka–Munk function against photon energy.



**Figure 4.** (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ . Nanoclusters (marked by red arrows) were highly dispersed on the  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  surface. In part b, a short dashed curve is applied to outline the Cu(II) nanoclusters. The good attachment of nanoclusters and  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  can be clearly observed.

forbidden gap. This isolated band has various electric levels from 0.3 to 0.8 eV below the conduction band minimum.<sup>5c,d</sup> Therefore,  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  exhibited broad visible light absorption.<sup>3</sup>

As shown in Figure 3a, it is clearly shown that, for  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2$ , the grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters increases the absorption intensities in the 420–550 and 700–800 nm wavelength regions. The slight increase in the former region can be assigned to IFCT of VB electrons to surface-grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters, as we reported previously in the  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2$  system, and the latter is due to a simple d–d transition of Cu(II).<sup>7a–d</sup> In contrast, for  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ , the grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters slightly decreases the absorption intensities in the 420–550 nm wavelength region, while the increases of the absorption intensities can also be observed in the 700–800 nm wavelength region because of d–d transition of Cu(II). These results indicate that the IFCT absorption is masked, owing to the strong visible light absorption of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ .


**TEM Analysis.** Figure 4a shows a TEM image of  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ . It clearly shows that the Cu(II) nanoclusters, in the size of  $\sim 2$  nm, are well dispersed on the surfaces of  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$ . The good attachment of Cu(II) nanoclusters to the high crystallized  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  surfaces with the clear lattice fringes of the rutile phase are observed from the high resolution TEM (HRTEM) images (Figure 4b). Point analysis of energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Figure S2 in the Supporting Information) and surface analysis of XPS (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) proved that these nanoclusters are composed of Cu.

**Photocatalytic Activity.** Even though an isolated band of  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  states contribute to the visible light absorption, isolated states usually act as recombination centers.<sup>4,5,11</sup>


As shown in Figure 5a, without nanocluster grafting,  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  has a negligible activity either under visible light or UV light irradiation, with the same absorbed photon numbers condition as that of  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  under the visible light irradiation. In contrast, grafting Cu(II) nanoclusters switched these powders to an efficient photocatalyst. Figure 5b shows the photocatalytic activities of  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2$ , evaluated by monitoring the acetone and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations generated by the gaseous decomposition of IPA under visible light (400–530 nm, 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>). Photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous IPA requires strong oxidation power of holes for the complete decomposition, rather than some dye molecules, and proceeds via formation of acetone as an intermediate, followed by further decomposition of acetone to the final products  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .<sup>12</sup> With the onset of visible light irradiation, the  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  produced both acetone and  $\text{CO}_2$  efficiently, as compared to the  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2$ . The  $\text{CO}_2$  generation rate of the  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  is 0.20  $\mu\text{mol/h}$ , which is much larger than that of the bare  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  (0.004  $\mu\text{mol/h}$ ) and that of the  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2$  (0.09  $\mu\text{mol/h}$ ). The  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  could completely decompose the gaseous IPA to finally produce the  $\text{CO}_2$  with the amount of  $\sim 18$   $\mu\text{mol}$ .<sup>7</sup> This photocatalyst was very stable; that is, its efficient visible light activity was maintained even after it was repeatedly used in air for 6 months (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). The quantum efficiency (QE) for  $\text{CO}_2$  generation on  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  was calculated to be ca. 10.8% (the calculation details are described in ref 7 and in Figure S5 in the Supporting Information), which was comparable to the performance of  $\text{WO}_3$  based photocatalyst.<sup>7a,d</sup> Furthermore, in the present study, visible light absorption is increased by introducing the  $\text{Ti}^{3+}$  sites into the  $\text{TiO}_2$  in addition to the IFCT absorption. The light absorption capability of our  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  in the 400–530 nm region increased to  $1.85 \times 10^{15}$  quanta·s<sup>−1</sup>, which is equal to that of our Cu(II) nanoclusters grafted conduction band (CB) controlled  $\text{TiO}_2$  ( $\text{Ti}_{1-3x}\text{W}_x\text{Ga}_{2x}\text{O}_2$ ),<sup>7c</sup> ca. 1.9 times, relative to  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2$ .

We also investigated the photocatalytic activity of our  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  under UV irradiation with the same absorbed photon numbers conditions as that under the visible light irradiation. It can be found that its activity under visible light is similar to that under UV light (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information). Further, it is noteworthy that the UV light activity of our  $\text{Cu(II)-TiO}_2@\text{Ti}^{3+}$  is comparable to that of one of the commercially available efficient photocatalytic  $\text{TiO}_2$ , (ST-01, Ishihara Sangyo Co. Ltd., Figure S6 in the Supporting






**Figure 5.** (a) Photocatalytic activities of the TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> sample without Cu(II) nanoclusters co-catalyst under visible light and UV irradiation. (b) Time-dependent gas concentrations during IPA decomposition over Cu(II)-TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> and Cu(II)-TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts under visible light irradiation at 400–530 nm (1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>).



**Figure 6.** Proposed photocatalysis processes.

Information). These results also reveal that the Cu(II) nanoclusters co-catalysts suppress the recombination under visible and UV light irradiation.

**Photocatalytic Mechanisms.** It has been reported that the Ti<sup>3+</sup> can produce isolated states in the forbidden gap.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> exhibits visible light absorption.<sup>4</sup> These Ti<sup>3+</sup> defects are occupied states and usually act as donors.<sup>13</sup> The electrons in these sites are excited to CB by a thermal or photoexcitation process to form the unoccupied states.<sup>14</sup> This process corresponds to the light absorption at the wavelength over 600 nm, as shown in Figure 2a. At the same time, the electrons in the VB can be excited to these unoccupied sites. However, these Ti<sup>3+</sup> sites are electron traps; thus, photogenerated charge carriers are easily recombined at these sites.<sup>4–6</sup> As a result, Ti<sup>3+</sup> deteriorates its photocatalytic activity under UV light as well as under visible light. Once the sample was grafted with Cu(II) nanoclusters, the potential of the Cu<sup>2+</sup>/Cu<sup>+</sup> is about 0.16 V (vs SHE, pH = 0), which can be expected to act as a co-catalyst.<sup>7a–d</sup> Visible light irradiation induced electrons on an isolated Ti<sup>3+</sup> band can be transferred to the surface Cu(II) nanoclusters efficiently, in addition to the direct charge transfer from the VB to the Cu(II) nanoclusters. Therefore, for Cu(II)-TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup>, under visible light irradiation, the holes in the VB decomposed IPA, while photoinduced electrons were transferred to the surface Cu(II) nanoclusters and consumed via oxygen reduction process efficiently,<sup>8</sup> which accounts for the enhanced activity compared to bare TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup>. As a result, TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup>, which was once considered to be photocatalytically inactive, became an efficient visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst. On the basis of these results, the photocatalytic mechanisms are speculated in Figure 6.




**Figure 7.** SEM images of (a) pure annealed TiO<sub>2</sub>, (b) pure oxidized Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and (c) TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> sample, respectively.

**Experimental Parameters Influences.** It is noted that the starting material (Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> mixture) is very important for synthesizing TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup>. If we used single component of Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder as a starting material, it showed much more enhanced visible light absorption (Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). However, its photocatalytic activity was very low (Figure S8 in the Supporting Information). These results indicate that the morphology and the degree of oxidation states are important to achieve an efficient visible light activity. When the starting material is pure Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions are mainly located at the deeper position of the large oxidized Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles after the annealing in air, and the oxidized pure Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shows very low photocatalytic activity.<sup>4a</sup> However, for our TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup>, small TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles uniformly covered large oxidized Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles (Figure 7), and the oxygen can diffuse between these particles under heat treatment in air with high temperature.

We have optimized the various experimental conditions like the ratio between the amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and annealing temperature, as shown in Figure 8. The results in Figure 5 demonstrate our best performance for visible light activity. It may be due to the annealing temperature, and the ratio of TiO<sub>2</sub> to Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> seriously influences the morphology and the degree of oxidation states, which are important for efficient visible light activity.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we developed a simple method to convert photochemically inactive TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> into an efficient visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst by the grafting of Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters. The visible light absorption was enhanced by introducing Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions in the TiO<sub>2</sub>. After the grafting of Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters, these nanoclusters suppressed the recombination of electron–hole pairs at the isolated Ti<sup>3+</sup> band, and these Cu(II) oxide amorphous nanoclusters acted as a co-catalyst for efficient



**Figure 8.** Photocatalytic activities of Cu(II)-TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> under visible light irradiation at 400–530 nm (1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) with different (a) annealing temperatures and (b) ratios between the amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

oxygen reduction to consume the photoinduced electrons. Therefore, the photocatalytic activity of the Cu(II)-TiO<sub>2</sub>@Ti<sup>3+</sup> increased drastically under either UV or visible light irradiation, and it can completely decompose gaseous IPA, even under visible light irradiation. This photocatalyst is very stable in air and can be repeatedly used without degradation in the visible light activity. Furthermore, this simple and economical method can be extended to apply other defects, such as oxygen vacancies, interstitial Ti, and interstitial O, to develop of a highly active photocatalyst under visible light irradiation.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### ● Supporting Information

Photos, EDS analysis, XPS analysis, UV–vis spectra, stability, UV light irradiation photocatalytic activity of samples, and the quantum efficiency calculation details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: [mmiyauchi@ceram.titech.ac.jp](mailto:mmiyauchi@ceram.titech.ac.jp) (M. M.); [hashimoto@light.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp](mailto:hashimoto@light.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp) (H. K.).

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed under the management of the Project to Create Photocatalysts Industry for Recycling-Oriented Society supported by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO) in Japan.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. *Nature* **1972**, 238, 37.
- (2) (a) Linsebigler, A. L.; Lu, G. Q.; Yates, J. T. Jr. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 95, 735. (b) Chen, X. B.; Mao, S. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 107, 2891.
- (3) (a) Liu, H. M.; Yang, W. S.; Ma, Y.; Yao, J. N. *Appl. Catal., A* **2006**, 299, 218. (b) Zuo, F.; Wang, L.; Wu, T.; Zhang, Z.; Borchardt, D.; Feng, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 11856. (c) Martyanova, I. N.; Bergerb, T.; Diwald, O.; Rodriguez, S.; Klabunde, K. J. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **2010**, 212, 135.
- (4) (a) Nakaoka, Y.; Nosaka, Y. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **1997**, 110, 299. (b) Bonnevot, L.; Haller, G. L. *J. Catal.* **1988**, 113, 96. (c) Lo, W. J.; Chung, Y. W.; Somorjai, G. A. *Surf. Sci.* **1978**, 71, 199. (d) Chen, W.; Cameron, S.; Gdthelid, M.; Hammar, M.; Paul, J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, 99, 12892. (e) Liu, G.; Rodriguez, J. A.; Hrbek, J.; Long, B. T.; Chen, D. A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2003**, 202, 215. (f) Ohtani, B.; Ogawa, Y.; Nishimoto, S. I. *J. Phys. Chem. B: Enzym.* **1997**, 101, 3746.
- (5) (a) Ghosh, A. K.; Wakim, F. G.; Addiss, P. R. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1969**, 184, 979. (b) Shultz, A. N.; Jang, W.; Hetherington, W. M. III; Baer, D. R.; Wang, L.; Engelhard, M. H. *Surf. Sci.* **1995**, 339, 114. (c) Valentin, D. C.; Pacchioni, G.; Selloni, A. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113, 20543. (d) Thompson, T. L.; Yates, J. T. Jr. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 106, 4428.
- (6) Cronemeyer, D. C. *Phys. Rev.* **1959**, 113, 1222.
- (7) (a) Irie, H.; Miura, S.; Kamiya, K.; Hashimoto, K. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, 457, 202. (b) Irie, H.; Kamiya, K.; Shibamura, T.; Miura, S.; Tryk, D. A.; Yokoyama, T.; Hashimoto, K. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113, 10761. (c) Yu, H. G.; Irie, H.; Hashimoto, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 6899. (d) Qiu, X. Q.; Miyauchi, M.; Yu, H.; Irie, H.; K. Hashimoto, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 15259. (e) Yu, H. G.; Irie, H.; Shimodaira, Y.; Hosogi, Y.; Kuroda, Y.; Miyauchi, M.; Hashimoto, K. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, 114, 16481.
- (8) (a) Himo, F.; Eriksson, L. A.; Maseras, F.; Siegbahn, P. E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 8031. (b) Goldstein, S.; Czapski, G.; Eldik, R.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991**, 95, 1282. (c) Kitajima, N.; Morooka, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, 94, 737. (d) Cole, A. P.; Root, D. E.; Mukherjee, P.; Solomon, E. I.; Stack, T. D. P. *Science* **1996**, 273, 1848.
- (9) Ganduglia-Pirovano, M. V.; Hofmann, A.; Sauer, J. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2007**, 62, 219.
- (10) (a) Howe, R. F.; Gratzel, M. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1987**, 91, 3906. (b) Anpo, M.; Che, M.; Fubini, B.; Garrone, E.; Giamello, E.; Paganini, M. C. *Top. Catal.* **1999**, 8, 189. (c) Komaguchi, K.; Maruoka, T.; Nakano, H.; Imae, I.; Ooyama, Y.; Harima, Y. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113, 1160.
- (11) (a) Herrmann, J. M.; Disdier, J.; Pichat, P. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, 108, 618. (b) Luo, Z.; Gao, Q. H. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **1992**, 63, 367. (c) Herrmann, J. M. *Top. Catal.* **2006**, 39, 3. (d) Herrmann, J. M. *Appl. Catal., B* **2010**, 99, 461. (e) Jung, K. Y.; Park, S. B.; Ihm, S. K. *Appl. Catal., B* **2004**, 51, 239. (f) Dozzi, M. V.; Livraghi, S.; Giamello, E.; Selli, E. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2011**, 10, 343.
- (12) (a) Ohko, Y.; Hashimoto, K.; Fujishima, A. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, 101, 8057. (b) Ohko, Y.; Fujishima, A.; Hashimoto, K. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, 102, 1724. (c) Ohko, Y.; Try, D. A.; Hashimoto, K.; Fujishima, A. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, 102, 2699.
- (13) (a) Weidmann, J.; Ditttrich, T.; Konstantinova, E.; Lauermaun, I.; Uhlendorf, I.; Koch, F. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **1999**, 56, 153. (b) Toyoda, T.; Hayashi, M. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2003**, 74, 337. (c) Eder, D.; Kramer, R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, 5, 1314. (d) Lisachenko, A. A.; Mikhailov, R. V.; Basov, L. L.; Shelimov, B. N.; Che, M. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, 111, 14440.
- (14) Dimitar, A. P.; Yates, J. T. Jr. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, 436, 204.